

UNITED STATES EPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

The

TUNO DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR			ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE	YOSHIDA		S	0694-121
09/074,012 05/05/ 9 8	I strate the world		EXAMINER	
-	IM62/0831	l		ALTO,B
JAE H KIM			ART UNIT	TARES MIMBER
HOPGOOD CALIMAFDE 60 EAST 42ND STREET		•	1762	14
NEW YORK NY 10165			DATE MAILE	o: 08/31/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks

*	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
<u> </u>	09/074,012		YOSHIDA ET AL	
			Art Unit	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	<u></u>	1762	
	Bernard D	Pianalto	correspondence a	ddress
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover s	lieer wini nic	•	
riod for Reply	LVIC CET TO EYP	IRE 3 MON	ΓH(S) FROM	
	LY 10 OCT TO EAR	-		filed
		revent nowers		y mea
time may be available under the provision comm	unication.	statutory minimu	um of thirty (30) days wi	
alter SIX (5) reply specified above is less than thirty (5)	•	: u aunira SI)	(6) MONTHS from the	maining date
 Extensions of time into after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this continuation. If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) of the be considered timely. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statu communication. Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply within the set or	nory period will apply an	application to h	ecome ABANDONED	(35 U.S.C. § 133).
communication.	ill, by statute, cause the	-Philomion to a		
- Failure to reply within the set of owner (a) filed on a	23 August 2000 .			
	This action is non-	final.		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	11110	formal matte	rs, prosecution as	to the ments is
 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for all closed in accordance with the practice unclosed. 	iowance exception der Ex parte Quayle	e, 1935 C.D.	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
3) Since this application with the practice unclosed in accordance with the practice un				
s Claims				
Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 10-14 is/are pending in the appli	ication.	torotion		
4) Claim(s) 10-14 is/are pending in the applied 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with	thdrawn from consid	นะเสแบบ.		
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
5) Claim(s) is/are rejected.				
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>10-14</u> is/are rejected.				
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claims are subject to restriction a	and/or election requ	irement.		
8) Claims are subject to restriction				
Application Papers	vaminer			
The specification is objected to by the Ex	xammer.	miner.		
9) The specification is objected to by the 2. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are objected to 1. 11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is objected to by	ected to by the LA	aproved b)] disapproved.	
		NETT THE		
11) The proposed drawing content 12) The oath or declaration is objected to by	y the Examiner.			
1				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for the second s	r foreign priority line	der 35 U.S.C	;. § 119(a)-(d).	
13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of the	Toreign phonty and	of the priorit	y documents have	been:
None of the	CERTIFIED copies	or me buen		
₄ □ received.		ام سال		
1.☐ received. 2.☐ received in Application No. (Set	ries Code / Serial N	iuitibei)	— · u Bureau (PCT Rul	le 17.2(a)).
2.☐ received in Application No. (Set 3.☐ received in this National Stage at the section action.	application from the	nternation	ant received	
received in this National Stage a * See the attached detailed Office action	for a list of the certi	ified copies I	not received.	
* See the attached detailed Office action	for domestic priorit	y under 35 l	J.S.C. & 119(e).	
* See the attached detailed Office action 14) Acknowledgement is made of a claim	Hor domestic bilow	•		
			··· (DTO A	113) Paper No(s)
Attachment(s)		18) 🔲 Inte	rview Summary (PTO-4 ice of Informal Patent A	413) Paper No(s) Application (PTO-152)
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	PTO-948)	19) Not 20) Oth		
 15) Notice of References Cited (PTO-692) 16) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F 17) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) F 	Paper No(s)	∠U) [] O(f)		Part of Paper No

Application/Control Number: 09/074,012

Art Unit: 1762

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Goto et al.for the same reasons as urged in the action of 3-29-00 It is the examiner's opinion that applicants' article is at the very least an obvious variation of the Goto et al article. Also the limitations of the dependent claims are conventional and do not render these claims unobvious.

A recitation of the intended use of the claimed invention must result in a structural difference between the claimed invention and the prior art in order to patentably distinguish the claimed invention from the prior art. If the prior art structure is capable of performing the intended use, then it meets the claim. In a claim drawn to a process of making, the intended use must result in a manipulative difference as compared to the prior art. See In re Casey, 152 USPQ 235 (CCPA 1967) and In re Otto, 136 USPQ 458, 459 (CCPA 1963).

Application/Control Number: 09/074,012

Art Unit: 1762

A preamble is generally not accorded any patentable weight where it merely recites the purpose of a process or the intended use of a structure, and where the body of the claim does not depend on the preamble for completeness but, instead, the process steps or structural limitations are able to stand alone. See In re Hirao, 535 F.2d 67, 190 USPQ 15 (CCPA 1976) and Kropa v. Robie, 187 F.2d 150, 152, 88 USPQ 478, 481 (CCPA 1951).

Claims 10-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. Claim 10, lines 1-4 are vague and indefinite.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bernard D Pianalto whose telephone number is 3082332. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 6:30-5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Shrive P Beck can be reached on 703 308 2333. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305 3599 for regular communications and 703 305 3599 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 306 5665.

Art Unit: 1762

14 August 30, 2000

BERNARD PIANALTO PRIMARY EXAMINER